1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Either before or after '91?
3	THE WITNESS: No. I mean, he never went out and
4	made sales or did anything like that, no. He might make the
5	comment, "How come we don't have so and so on the air when,
6	you know, they are over there? They are on a competitor
7	station."
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Did Mr. Rice before April '91
9	sign any contracts, advertising contracts, on behalf of the
10	station?
11	And Mr Zauner talked about leases, and there is
12	evidence that you signed a couple leases.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, so Mr. Rice did sign like
15	advertising contracts?
16	THE WITNESS: Right. I mean, the building where
17	we were when I went to work for him, we stayed in there
18	he had signed that original lease. I mean, him or his
19	father, one, I don't remember which. But they were in that
20	building when I went to work, and then we moved out again.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: Did he sign any of these types
22	of contracts after April '91?
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	Now, wait, when you said a contract, he may have
25	signed if we applied to buy equipment somewhere, and you

- 1 had to fill out a credit application, and they required that
- 2 the president sign that as a personal guarantee, yes, he
- 3 would have signed that.
- But usually I would say, "Well, if that's the
- 5 case, there is 10 other equipment suppliers. Forget them."
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, before April of '91, about
- 7 how much time did Mr. Rice spend at the stations, at the
- 8 Licensee stations, if you can estimate or if you know?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't really know because
- lots of times he would go to the stations. He might drive
- there at night, or he might go there over the weekend.
- Because if he was going, it was usually to do something
- technical, and that's normally when you do it, not when
- everybody is in the place or things like that.
- 15 And prior to '91, you know, he might come in the
- office on a Wednesday, and I hadn't heard from him for three
- or four days, and he would say, "Well, you know, I was in
- 18 Columbia over the weekend, and who does the maintenance work
- 19 up there? The grass needs to be mowed."
- So, you know, I -- that's the best I can answer.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did that change after April '91?
- THE WITNESS: No, because after that period of
- time, like I said, I think Mike had so many other things on
- 24 his mind that --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So is it far to say he spent

- less time doing that stuff --
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- after April '91?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Much less. But then once he went,
- 5 as I said earlier on that one exhibit, once he went to
- 6 prison what does he have to think about?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Getting out, I quess.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Um-hmm.
- 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Is it fair to say that before,
- 10 and if this is not -- this is my characterization. If the
- witness thinks it's fair to say that, you can agree with me.
- 12 If you don't, you can disagree with me.
- Is it fair to say that Mr. Rice before April '91
- was really not a hand's on manager or a hand's on
- 15 pulicymaker --
- 16 THE WITNESS: That's right.
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: -- at the station?
- THE WITNESS: That's right.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: And then after '91, he still
- 20 wasn't a hand's on?
- 21 THE WITNESS: It became even less, and even after
- 22 '91, I guess you could say I didn't even pay as much
- 23 attention to the input after that length of time because of
- 24 all the extenuating circumstances.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I guess we can go to

- 1 redirect now.
- 2 MR. GAFFNEY: Just very briefly, Your Honor.
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. GAFFNEY:
- 5 Q Some questions were asked about the termination of
- 6 Mr. Hanks.
- 7 A Um-hmm
- 8 O And who was it that made the decision to terminate
- 9 Mr. Hanks?
- 10 A Richard Hauschild and myself.
- 11 Q Okay. And you also mentioned that Mr. Hanks had
- 12 some health problems?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 O You didn't fire Mr. Hanks because he had health
- 15 problems, did you?
- 16 A No. He didn't do his job.
- MR. GAFFNEY: Could I have one minute, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure.
- 19 (Pause)
- MR. GAFFNEY: Nothing further of this witness,
- 21 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Any recross?
- MR. ZAUNER: No, Your Honor.
- 7 24 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, you are excused. And
 - 25 thank you very much for testifying.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Okay, good.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I have just a question. If
- 3 there is a possibility that Ms. Cox will be returning to the
- 4 witness stand, then the sequestration order still applies.
- 5 You might want to put her on as a surrebuttal witness or
- 6 something.
- 7 MR. GAFFNEY: I appreciate that.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: If you are not going to -- of
- 9 course, if Mr. Hanks is presented on rebuttal, then maybe
- somebody will be surrebuttaling him after you, if
- 11 surrebuttaling is a word.
- So I think it would be better to keep you under
- the sequestration order until that's all finished with.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay, what does that exactly mean?
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Don't talk to anybody about your
- 16 testimony.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I guess you can talk to your
- 19 husband because you can talk to your attorneys, of
- 20 course.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And you can talk to your husband
- about the property you leased from him.
- 24 Do you understand?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I may talk to my husband,

- 1 right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You don't talk to other people
- 3 at the Licensee about testimony or --
- 4 THE WITNESS: Any of the managers or anything of
- 5 that nature, is that what you are saying?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Right.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Mum's the word.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: Mum's the word until your
- 9 attorneys tell you can de-mum.
- MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, does that go for Mr.
- 11 Leatherman too?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. If there is a possibility
- of you recalling Mr. Leatherman, the same thing applies.
- 14 It's probably better to keep the sequestration order in
- 15 effect. And I'm sorry I didn't get to tell him that, but I
- 16 didn't think of it.
- MR. GAFFNEY: We will inform him of that promptly,
- 18 Your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay now, a couple of
- 20 housekeeping things.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, you can be excused. Thank
- you very much.
- THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 25 (Witness excused.)

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: There are some things that I
2	would like to review that I think we need in the record. We
3	have got the information about the checks, I think.
4	Is everybody happy with that information?
5	MR. MASTANDO: We're satisfied, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the only thing, you know,
7	it still bothers me that we don't have the universe of
8	checks, and accurate numbers and stuff like that.
9	You might think about this, and that is, I notice
10	from Bureau Exhibit 10 that the checks were numbered. And
11	maybe you can maybe it would be easy to say, okay, this
12	April 3, '91 at Contemporary Media we started off with this
13	check number, and to the date of incarceration we ended up
14	with this check number, and that way, you know, it just
15	gives some indications as to how much checks there were.
16	You don't have to do that if you don't want to,
17	but I something bothers me about just having a random
18	sample.
19	The second thing you need to we need the June
20	21, '91, Section 1.6 Lake station in the record.
21	The third thing I would like is I didn't know
22	that Mr. Rice was paid a salary, and I want to know
23	basically how much he was paid from April 3, '91 through the
24	date of his incarceration, which I think is September 30,

194.

25

- If you want to break it down, if it's the same
- thing every month and say he was paid this monthly the fine.
- 3 But I think something like that ought to be in the record.
- I think I think it might be easy just to get the station's
- 5 W-2s for '92, '93 and '94, and then figure out what it was
- 6 in '91, because '92, '93 and '94 were whole years, at least
- 7 up until his incarceration.
- 8 The date Mr. Rice entered the hospital is in the
- 9 record, and that was April 3, '91; is that right?
- 10 MS. SADOWSKY: Correct.
- 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: Do we have the date in the
- record when he was released from the hospital?
- MS. SADOWSKY: No.
- MR. MASTANDO: No.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I think we need that.
- MR. MASTANDO: And also he was hospitalized on
- 17 several occasions, on a few occasions.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Was he hospitalized on more than
- 19 one occasion?
- 20 MS. SADOWSKY: No, he was -- at least the April
- 21 1991 hospitalization was extended through some time in
- 22 October. I don't know of any other hospitalizations.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Just let's get the date that he
- 24 was released from the hospital in the record. And I think
- we, you know, I asked you to provide the dates of employment

- 1 of Mr. Rhea.
- 2 And another thing that I noticed today was in
- 3 Bureau Exhibit 1 Attachment 1, the second amended
- 4 information, it doesn't appear to be dated. If we could get
- 5 a date for that, because I'll tell you, one of the things
- 6 that I didn't think of before was April '91, Mr. Rice was
- 7 hospitalized.
- When did all this stuff -- when did the -- you
- 9 know, when did all this come up? It had to have come up
- like in early '91, 1990. In other words, we had Ms. Cox
- 11 testify --
- 12 MS. SADOWSKY: The record does contain some
- documents that reflect that, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- 15 MS. SADOWSKY: There are some 1.65 statements that
- 16 reflect he was arrested first in August of 1990.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- MS. SADOWSKY: The he was arrested again in
- 19 November of 1990 And he was not charged until April 1. He
- was formally changed on April 1, 1991.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: All right, that's all reflected
- in the 1.65 statements?
- MS. SADOWSKY: I believe so.
- 24 JUDGE STEINBERG: Because I think that impacts on
- some of her testimony was he was involved -- some of Ms.

- 1 Cox's testimony was that Mr. Rice was preoccupied with other
- things, and he didn't do this. And it appears to me like we
- 3 had the second amended information. Now, there is probably
- 4 first amended information, and there was probably an
- 5 information or whatever. And if we get the whole -- I want
- to be able to put things in context. And, you know, the
- 7 more dates to have the happier I will be.
- 8 MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, page 37 and page 38 of
- 9 Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 1, I think, have a lot of that
- 10 information.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- MR. ZAUNER: Mr. Rice's arrested date, preliminary
- hearing on such a date, and then the hearing was such and
- 14 such a date.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- MR. ZAUNER: The chronology is laid out.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, cause I'm worried about
- writing a decision, or you guys writing findings and there
- 19 being dates and time frame missing, and that becomes
- 20 problematical from a practical standpoint.
- MR. GAFFNEY: Your Honor, just for the record, to
- the extent that information is missing, and it's the
- 23 Bureau's burden of proof --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I know.
- MR. GAFFNEY: -- to provide it, we have standing

- objections as to any further discovery in the case.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, it's the Bureau's --
- 3 MR. GAFFNEY: If this is in the nature of further
- 4 discovery requests from the bench or --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, I will agree that it's the
- 6 Bureau's burden, but then I have got my obligation which is
- 7 to develop a full record. And just because they don't do it
- 8 doesn't mean that I can't do it, and I think it's my duty to
- 9 do it. If I see pieces missing, I would be derelict in my
- 10 duty if I didn't try to go after them.
- And I understand what your position is, and I
- can't disagree with it, but I can overrule it.
- MR. GAFFNEY: I understand that, Your Honor. But
- 14 just to make the record clear --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Right.
- MR. GAFFNEY: -- for purposes, is this a request
- 17 at this date for further discovery from the Licensees?
- 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: NO, no, no. I just want you to
- 19 get the dates and put them in the record. You know, you --
- MR. GAFFNEY: In our direct case, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No, no, no.
- 22 MR. GAFFNEY: Or in the Bureau's case?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What you can come in here with
- is, you know, the statement pursuant to Section 1.65,
- somebody bring the thing in and introduce it into evidence.

- I don't care. If the Bureau wants to do it, they can do it.
- 2 If you want to do it, you can do it. If not, bring it in
- 3 and I will do it I will make it Judge's Exhibit 1. I
- 4 don't really care. I just think it has to be in there.
- 5 How much Mr. Rice was paid, you can say, "Look,
- 6 Your Honor, we looked into it, and these are the numbers,
- 7 and ask the Bureau, and will the Bureau stipulate to it.
- 8 You know, ask the Bureau. Show them the documents if they
- 9 want to see it, and you can stipulate this is how much he
- 10 was paid in these years; dates of his hospitalization. You
- 11 know, you can say, "Your Honor, pursuant to your request,
- 12 this is the information." It doesn't have to be in an
- 13 exhibit. It can be orally. If you want to make it an
- 14 exhibit, you know, then we have it written down. I don't
- 15 think it's any big deal.
- 16 MR. ZAUNER: You are not really assisting the
- Bureau's direct case, what you are doing is you are
- 18 providing answers that this witness was unable to provide or
- 19 that --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, answers that I want to
- 21 know.
- 22 MR. MASTANDO: Or judicial notice of other
- 23 proceedings.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No, I just want the information
- in, and I don't care how it comes in.

- 1 MR. GAFFNEY: I realize that, Your Honor, And
- believe me, respectfully, I just want to know by what -- for
- 3 the record, it's not the logistics of getting it back and
- 4 forth.
- Is the request that we provide the information in
- 6 the nature of a discovery request?
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: No. it's just I want the
- 8 information, that's all. It's not discovery. It's just I
- 9 want the information. And however it gets in, it gets in.
- 10 If you want to make it part of your direct case, I
- 11 don't think you want to do that.
- MR. GAFFNEY: Well, we wouldn't have the burden of
- 13 proof in the case.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Right.
- MR. GAFFNEY: So that wouldn't make sense.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you know, you didn't have
- 17 to put in a direct case anyway if you didn't want to.
- 18 MR. GAFFNEY: Also correct.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: But I just want the information,
- 20 and I don't think it's any big deal. But then, you know, I
- 21 fully understand your position.
- What I think we ought to do is -- we have the --
- let me ask Mr. Zauner. Are you going to put on Mr. Hanks as
- 24 a rebuttal witness?
- MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor, that's our

- 1 intention.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Now, we need to know
- when, I guess when he's going to be deposed so that we know
- 4 when we are going to have the rebuttal session. And you
- 5 don't know that yet?
- 6 MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, after hearing the
- 7 testimony today, we also intend at this point to call Mr.
- 8 Rhea as a rebuttal witness.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So we have got Hanks and
- 10 Rhea.
- MR. ZAUNER: Rhea is spelled R-H-E-A, if you need
- 12 it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Well, then I have been
- 14 spelling it all day.
- Okay, do you want to depose Mr. Rhea?
- MR. GAFFNEY: We want the opportunity, Your Honor.
- MS. SADOWSKY: Yes.
- 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, Because, you know, in the
- 19 normal course of events I just have them out in the hall,
- they come in, they testify, and that was it. But I gave the
- 21 Bureau an extra couple of weeks, and in my opinion some of
- their -- well, I'm not going to say here. I think it's fair
- 23 to let you depose these two people if you want them.
- When we know something, somebody let me know.
- MS. SADOWSKY: As soon as we --

- 1 MR. GAFFNEY: Promptly, Your Honor.
- MS. SADOWSKY: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Of course, I wouldn't have any
- 4 problem with -- for instance, if Mr. Rhea is deposed next
- 5 week, you know, early in the week, let's haul him in and get
- 6 his testimony. And then we can -- did I say Mr. Rhea? I
- 7 meant Mr. Hanks.
- 8 MS. SADOWSKY: Mr. Hanks, yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, if Mr. Hanks is deposed
- next week, let's get him in here and get him to testify, and
- 11 then we will deal with Mr. Rhea separately. You know, we
- don't have to do both of them at the same time.
- So right now we have got a deposition scheduled
- 14 for April 20th. Anybody have a calendar?
- MR. ZAUNER: That's a Saturday.
- MS. SADOWSKY: It's a Saturday.
- MR. ZAUNER: We can do that on a Saturday.
- MR. GAFFNEY: Again, we will -- hopefully, we will
- 19 get the cooperation of his attorney to pick a convenient
- 20 date.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I was wondering if we should
- 22 pick a date for rebuttal, and that would --
- MS. SADOWSKY: The testimony?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, for the testimony, and that
- 25 would -- call me and let me know what's been decided on the

- date of the deposition, and get out a notice of deposition
- 2 on Mr. Rhea, and --
- 3 MR. ZAUNER: And keep us informed too.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, of course. They are not
- 5 going to tell me anything they are not going to tell you.
- 6 MS. SADOWSKY: Do you know whether Mr. Rhea's
- 7 address --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let's go off the record.
- 9 (Discussion off the record.)
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: We're back on the record.
- Basically, we're going to have rebuttal but we
- don't know when yet. As soon as we know something counsel
- will let me know, and then I will schedule the rebuttal
- 14 session, or several.
- Do you want to add anything, Mr. Zauner?
- MR. ZAUNER: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Ms. Sadowsky?
- MS. SADOWSKY: Nothing at this time.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Gaffney?
- MR. GAFFNEY: No, Your Honor, because we had some
- 21 discussion as to my objections to providing further
- information. I just want to state for the record that we do
- 23 object to providing that further information. That's all.
- 24 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, that's fine. The
- 25 objections are overruled.

```
And then I -- there is no hurry to get it to me.
 1
      You know, whenever we have the rebuttal session, we can do
 2
 3
      it then.
                 Okay?
 4
                 Okay, so we will be in recess.
 5
                 (Whereupon, at 4:27 p.m, the hearing was recessed,
      to reconvene sine die.)
 6
 7
      //
      11
 8
 9
      11
      11
10
11
      11
12
      11
      //
13
14
      //
15
      11
16
      //
17
      //
18
      //
19
      //
      //
20
21
      //
22
      //
      //
23
24
      //
      //
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: 95-154

CASE TITLE:

Contemporary Media, Inc., et al.

HEARING DATE:

April 9, 1996

LOCATION:

Washington, D. C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Phil Raptis

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Joyce F. Boe

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Official Proofreader Heritage Reporting Corporation

James Maxfield